

**Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

Serial No. 10/816,731

Examiner Hirdepal Singh

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The specification is amended to replace the text of the Summary of the Invention with language that is now being used in applications for the assignee. The text deleted from the Summary is being inserted on page 30 of the Detailed Description of the Specification.

The last two paragraphs beginning on page 14 and extending to page 15 are being amended to provide definitions for “TX data” and “TX” (timing control) for the first instances of these terms and to fix a spacing problem in the second of these two paragraphs on the 3<sup>rd</sup> line. The applicant does not believe that new matter is being introduced by way of such amendments to the Specification. The applicant notes that the objection to the specification on page 5 for not properly introducing “TX” is moot as the text corresponding to the objection has been replaced. Thus, to respond to the objection, the applicant has amended the first instance of the term “TX data” which appears on page 15.

The last paragraph of page 19 is amended to insert the terms “portion of a” in front of the terms “mode control signal” (line 8 of the paragraph). The applicant notes that Figure 4 and the originally filed claim 1 provide support therefor and no new matter is added by such amendment.

Claims 1-19 and 21 remain in this application. Claim 20 has been canceled. Claims 1 and 11-19 are amended. Claim 1 is further amended to clarify terminology. Claim 10 is amended to change “comprised” to “comprising”. Claims 11 and 19 are further amended to define the acronyms “TX, PSK, FSK”. The preamble of claim 11 is amended to specify “radio transmitter digital modulator” instead of just “radio transmitter”. Claims 12-18 are amended to be consistent with claim 11. Claim 19 is amended to include the limitations of claim 20 which were indicated to be allowable. Claim 21 is amended for grammatical clarification.

The applicant acknowledges that the claim identifier of claim 4 in the prior amendment incorrectly listed claim 4 as (original) even though the claim was amended. Accordingly, the claim identifier for the present set of claims identify claim 4 as being “previously presented” it should be for a previously amended claim.

**Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

Serial No. 10/816,731

Examiner Hirdepal Singh

**CONCLUSION**

It is believed that the foregoing amendment places the Application in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions regarding these amendments, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact please contact James Harrison at (214) 902-8100.

Respectfully submitted,

**GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON**

Dated: April 3, 2008

/James A. Harrison/Reg. No. 40,401

James A. Harrison

Garlick Harrison & Markison  
P. O. Box 160727  
Austin, TX 78716-0727  
Voice: (214) 902-8100  
Fax: (214) 902-8101